



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

SOLUTION BY EDWARD H. WORTHINGTON, University of Pennsylvania.

$$\Gamma(n) = \int_0^\infty x^{n-1} e^{-x} dx.$$

Hence,

$$\Gamma(1) = \int_0^\infty e^{-x} dx = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma'(n) = \int_0^\infty x^{n-1} e^{-x} \log x dx,$$

since differentiation may be carried under the sign.

Since

$$\log x = \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\alpha} - e^{-\alpha x}}{\alpha} d\alpha, \quad \Gamma'(n) = \int_0^\infty x^{n-1} e^{-x} \int_0^\infty \frac{e^{-\alpha} - e^{-\alpha x}}{\alpha} d\alpha dx.$$

$$\therefore \Gamma'(1) = \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty e^{-x} \frac{e^{-\alpha} - e^{-\alpha x}}{\alpha} dx d\alpha = \Gamma(1) \int_0^\infty \left(e^{-\alpha} - \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \right) \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha}.$$

$$(1) \text{ Hence, } \frac{\Gamma'(1)}{\Gamma(1)} = \int_0^\infty \left(e^{-\alpha} - \frac{1}{1+\alpha} \right) \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha}; \quad \text{also} \quad \frac{\Gamma'(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} = \int_0^\infty \left(e^{-\alpha} - \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)^{1/2}} \right) \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha}$$

$$(2) \text{ and } \frac{\Gamma'(1)}{\Gamma(1)} - \frac{\Gamma'(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} = \int_0^\infty \left(-\frac{1}{1+\alpha} + \frac{1}{(1+\alpha)^{1/2}} \right) \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha}.$$

Changing $1 + \alpha$ to $1/\alpha$, (2) becomes, if the primes are dropped, and convergency considered,

$$\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \left[\int_{1-\epsilon}^0 \frac{1 - \alpha^{-1/2}}{1 - \alpha} d\alpha = \int_{1-\epsilon}^0 \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha^{1/2}(\alpha^{1/2} + 1)} = \int_{1-\epsilon}^0 -\alpha^{-1/2} [1 - \alpha^{1/2} + \alpha - \alpha^{3/2} + \alpha^2 \cdots] d\alpha \right] \\ = +2[1 - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} - \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{5} \cdots] = +2 \log_e 2.$$

Since $\log_e 2 = 0.69315$

$$\therefore \frac{\Gamma'(1)}{\Gamma(1)} - \frac{\Gamma'(\frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{1}{2})} = +1.38630.$$

Also solved by G. PAASWELL, H. M. TERRILL, and V. M. SPUNAR.

NUMBER THEORY.

254. Proposed by HORACE OLSON, Chicago, Ill.

Find three integers x, y, z , such that $x^2 + y^2, x^2 + z^2, y^2 + z^2$, and $x^2 + y^2 + z^2$ are all perfect squares.

SOLUTION BY V. M. SPUNAR, Chicago, Ill.

Let

$$x^2 + y^2 = \square = a^2, \quad (1) \quad y^2 + z^2 = \square = c^2, \quad (3)$$

$$x^2 + z^2 = \square = b^2, \quad (2) \quad x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = \square = d^2. \quad (4)$$

A complete solution of (4) is as follows:

$$x = m^2 + n^2 - p^2 - q^2, \quad y = 2(mp + nq), \quad z = 2(mq - np), \quad d = m^2 + n^2 + p^2 + q^2. \quad (5)$$

First we remember that one of the two integers A and B satisfying the relation, $A^2 + B^2 = \square$, must be even.

Next, suppose y and z even, then (3) shows that c is even, and after removing the common factor 4 we find again that either $(y/2)^2$, or $(z/2)^2$ is a multiple of 4. But from (5) it is obvious that if x be odd three and only three of the numbers m, n, p, q must be odd or three even. This leads, however, to y and simultaneously z having the same factor 2, which, after suppressing in (3), leads to the conclusion again, that one number must be even, which is impossible.

Hence, the proposition is impossible.